



EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(51) Int. Cl.⁶: **H03K 17/693**, H01P 1/15

(21) Application number: 95113485.7

(22) Date of filing: 28.08.1995

(84) Designated Contracting States:
DE FR GB IT

(30) Priority: 29.08.1994 JP 203190/94

(71) Applicant: HITACHI, LTD.
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101 (JP)

(72) Inventors:

- **Tanaka, Satoshi**
Kokubunji-shi, Tokyo (JP)


- Okamoto, Tatsuto
Kohoku-ku, Yokohama-shi, Kanagawa (JP)
- Kitayama, Taro
Yokohama-shi, Kanagawa (JP)
- Yamane, Masao
Takasaki-shi, Gunma (JP)

(74) Representative: Strehl Schübel-Hopf Groening & Partner
Maximilianstrasse 54
D-80538 München (DE)

(54) Low distortion switch

(57) In an SPDT switch consisting of a plurality of FETs, the FET (FET 2) on the receiver side through which a received signal passes and the shunt FET (FET 4) on the transmitter side are each formed of series-connected FETs, and a capacitor is connected between the first gate and the source and between the second gate and the drain. An inductance is connected in parallel with a series connection of FETs. This easily realises a high frequency switch having a low voltage and a low distortion characteristic. The 1 dB compression level, an index of input-output characteristic, can be improved by more than 5 dB over the conventional SPDT switch at an input level.

FIG. 1



Description

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

(1) Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a switch used in terminals for mobile communication systems to switch between transmission and receiving modes and more particularly to a high-frequency switch having low distortion characteristics.

(2) Description of the Prior Art

Many reports have been made public on the development of a single-pole double-throw switch (abbreviated SPDT switch) using GaAs devices for switching between transmission and receiving modes, whose main applications include cellular telephones and cord-less telephones. One such example is the "Small Resin Packaged High-Frequency FET Switch," by Yoshikawa, et al., proceedings of the 1994 IEICE Spring Conference, Lecture Number C-90.

Figure 2 shows a circuit configuration of a conventional SPDT switch. FETs *FET1*, *FET2*, *FET3*, *FET4* making up the SPDT switch are depression GaAs MESFETs. By referring to Figure 2, the working principle of the SPDT switch is explained. The SPDT switch includes three signal nodes 1, 2, 3 and two control nodes *VC1*, *VC2*. The signal node 2 is connected to an antenna, the signal node 1 is connected to a receiver, and the signal node 3 is connected to a transmitter. The two control nodes *VC1*, *VC2* are applied with a 0 V bias or a negative bias *Vcon* lower than the threshold voltage of each FET *Vth*, complementarily, as a control bias. When the control node *VC1* is applied with 0 (V) and the control node *VC2* with *Vcon* (V), *FET2* and *FET4* turn on and *FET1* and *FET3* turn off, connecting the signal node 2 and the signal node 1 and introducing a received signal from the antenna to the receiver (receiving mode). Conversely, when the control node *VC1* is applied with *Vcon* (V) and the control node *VC2* with 0 (V), *FET1* and *FET3* turn on and *FET2* and *FET4* turn off, connecting the signal node 2 and the signal node 3 and introducing a sending signal from the transmitter to the antenna (transmitting mode).

Figure 3A shows a small signal equivalent circuit for each FET. As shown in Figure 3, a simplified equivalent circuit with FET off can be represented by a parasitic capacitor between drain and source. The insertion loss of the SPDT switch is determined by parasitic capacitor and parasitic resistor between drain and source of each FET.

Figure 3B shows a small signal equivalent circuit of a conventional SPDT switch in the receiving mode. Reducing the parasitic resistance of ON-state FET on either the transmitter or receiver side results in an increase in the gate width of FET, which in turn increases the parasitic capacitor of the OFF-state FET. Hence, the insertion loss on the transmitter side and the insertion loss on the receiver side are in the trade-off relation in terms of gate width of each FET.

Next, the distortion mechanism during the large-signal operation of the conventional SPDT switch is described below. The main cause of distortion of the SPDT switch lies in the OFF-state FET. That is, in the transmitting mode, the distortion is caused by a shunt FET on the transmitter side and a through FET on receiving mode on the receiver side. The shunt FET and the through FET on receiving mode correspond to *FET4* and *FET2* in Figure 2.

Figure 4A and 4C show the OFF-state shunt FET on the transmitter side. By referring to these figures, the distortion mechanism is described.

First, let us consider a case where the frequency of the input signal is sufficiently low so that the parasitic capacitor of FET can be ignored (Figure 4A). The source node of OFF-state FET is at a ground level (*Vs*=0). At this time, FET is impressed with a large amplitude of waveform, and a large voltage is applied to its drain.

(1) When the voltage applied to drain is negative:

When the voltage *Vd* applied to the drain is lower than *VCon+abs(Vth)*, where *Vcon* is control bias, current starts to flow to the drain side. Thus, as shown in Figure 4B, the waveform distorts in a negative region. This condition is expressed as follows.

$$V_d \leq V_{con} + \text{abs}(V_{th}) \quad (\text{Expression 1})$$

(2) When the voltage applied to drain is positive:

Basically FET does not turn on as long as the breakdown voltage level is not exceeded. The results are summarized in Figure 4B. Distortion occurs only when the voltage applied to drain is lower than the voltage *Von(-)* at which the (Expression 1) with equality sign holds.

Next, let us consider a case where the frequency of input signal is high so that the influence of FET parasitic capacitor cannot be ignored (Figure 4C). In this case, what influences the distortion mechanism is a gate-drain capacitance *Cgd*

and a gate-source capacitance C_{gs} . It is assumed that the control bias V_{con} is supplied through a resistor sufficiently large compared with the parasitic capacitor. At this time, the gate voltage V_g is given by

$$V_g = V_{con} + \frac{V_d \cdot C_{gd}}{C_{gd} + C_{gs}} \quad (\text{Expression 2})$$

(1) When the voltage applied to drain is negative:

The condition in which FET turns on causing the current to flow out of the drain is given by

$$V_d \leq V_g + \text{abs}(V_{th}) \quad (\text{Expression 3})$$

Combining (Expression 2) and (Expression 3) results in

$$V_d \leq \frac{(V_{con} + \text{abs}(V_{th}))(C_{gd} + C_{gs})}{C_{gs}} \quad (\text{Expression 4})$$

It is seen that FET can withstand signals that are $(C_{gd} + C_{gs})/C_{gs}$ times greater in voltage magnitude than the signals at low frequency.

(2) When the voltage applied to drain is positive:

The condition under which the gate voltage V_g increases and FET turns on to cause the current to flow into drain is given by

$$V_g \geq V_{th} \quad (\text{Expression 5})$$

Combining (Expression 2) and (Expression 5) results in

$$V_d \geq \frac{(V_{th} - V_{con})(C_{gd} + C_{gs})}{C_{gd}} \quad (\text{Expression 6})$$

At low frequency, the input signal can be close to the limit of drain breakdown voltage. In this case, however, the impedance of parasitic capacitor cannot be ignored and the gate voltage V_g is influenced by the drain voltage V_d and increases, turning on the FET and distorting the signal.

The voltages that satisfy the equality sign condition of (Expression 4) and (Expression 6) are assumed to be $V_{on}(-)$ and $V_{on}(+)$. The input and output waveforms are shown in Figure 4D. As shown, the conventional SPDT switch suppresses the dynamic range of voltage applied to the terminals of *FET4* and *FET2* in Figure 2 according to (Expression 4) and (Expression 6). Hence, it is necessary to deepen the control bias V_{con} or shallow the threshold voltage V_{th} to lower distortion.

Considering the application of SPDT switch to mobile communication systems, power consumption should be reduced and this puts demands on the circuit for lower voltage, which in turn requires the control bias voltage to be lowered. Shallowing the threshold voltage increases the resistance of ON state, giving rise to another problem of increased insertion loss.

A typical prior art to solve this problem involves using a plurality of FET connected in series, not a single FET, to realize ON-state and OFF-state. One such conventional example is introduced in "High performance, low cost GaAs MMICs for personal phone applications at 1.9GHz," by C. Kermarrc, Institute of Physics Conference Series Number 129, pp.911-916. This conventional example is shown in Figure 7A. To explain this example, the distortion mechanism of OFF-state FET shown in Figure 4 and the countermeasure are reexamined here. Looking at the term $(C_{gd} + C_{gs})/C_{gs}$ in (Expression 4), it is seen that by increasing C_{gd} compared with C_{gs} it is possible to suppress the phenomenon in which the FET is erroneously turned on when the drain voltage V_d is deflected to the negative side.

Similarly, looking at the term $(C_{gd} + C_{gs})/C_{gd}$ in (Expression 6), it is seen that by increasing, this time, C_{gs} compared with C_{gd} it is possible to suppress the phenomenon in which the FET is erroneously turned on when the drain voltage V_d is deflected to the positive side.

The above two effects are realized by increasing the number of FETs which may have the problem of distortion and connecting them in series. In Figure 7B, three *FETs* are connected in series. It is also known, as indicated in Japan

Patent Laid-Open No. 45872/1994, that the effect can be enhanced by connecting the sources of two FETs and adding a capacitor between drain and gate of each FET. This conventional example is shown in Figure 7B.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Constructing the SPDT switch by applying the above-mentioned conventional technology to solve the problem of distortion requires increasing the gate width to reduce series parasitic resistance, which in turn results in an increased parasitic capacitance. When the sources of two FETs are connected and a capacitor is added between the drain and gate of each FET, as shown in Figure 7B, a large voltage is impressed between gate and source of each FET, giving rise to a problem that a large signal input may result in FET breakdown.

This invention solves the problem of increased parasitic capacitance by connecting an inductor in parallel with a series connection of FETs. The problem of breakdown is improved by putting drains opposite each other and connecting them.

Here, we will discuss the conventional method of dealing with the SPDT switch distortions in detail and reveal its problem. As described earlier, the major cause for distortions in the SPDT switch lies in the OFF-state FET. Let us examine the operation when this section is replaced with a plurality of cascode-connected FETs. Figure 5A shows two OFF-state FETs connected in series.

First, we consider a case where the frequency of input signal is sufficiently low so that the parasitic capacitors of the FETs are negligible. Two gates $G1$, $G2$ are both biased to V_{con} (V). The source nodes of the OFF-state FETs are at the ground level ($V_s=0$).

(1) When the voltage applied to drain is negative:

When the drain voltage V_{d2} is less than $V_{con} + \text{Abs}(V_{th})$, where V_{con} is a control bias, current starts to flow to the drain side. This phenomenon is what occurs with a single FET. At low frequency, no effect is produced if a plurality of FETs are connected in series.

(2) When the voltage applied to drain is positive:

As with the case of a single FET, the FET basically will not turn on as long as the breakdown voltage level is not exceeded.

Next, we consider a case where the frequency of input signal is high so that the influence of parasitic capacitor of FET cannot be ignored (Figure 5A). In this case, what influences the distortion is four parasitic capacitors C_{g1s} , C_{g1d1} , C_{g2d1} , C_{g2d2} . It is assumed that the control bias V_{con} is supplied through a resistor sufficiently large compared with the parasitic capacitor.

(1) When the voltage applied to drain $D2$ is negative.

The gate voltage of the second gate $G2$, V_{g2} , is given by

$$V_{g2} = V_{con} + V_{d2} * \left(1 - \frac{C_{g1s} * C_{g1d1} * C_{g2d1}}{CM} \right) \quad (\text{Expression 7})$$

$$CM = C_{g1d1} * C_{g2d1} * C_{g2d2} + C_{g1s} * C_{g1d1} * C_{g2d1} + C_{g1s} * C_{g2d1} * C_{g2d2} + C_{g1s} * C_{g1d1} * C_{g2d2}$$

The condition in which the FET is turned on to allow current to flow out of drain $D2$ is given by

$$V_{d2} \leq V_{g2} + \text{abs}(V_{th}) \quad (\text{Expression 8})$$

Combining (Expression 7) and (Expression 8) results in

$$V_{d2} \leq \frac{(V_{con} + \text{abs}(V_{th})) * CM}{(C_{g1s} * C_{g1d1} * C_{g2d1})} \quad (\text{Expression 9})$$

This shows that the FET can withstand the input signal with a voltage magnitude $CM/(C_{g1s} * C_{g1d1} * C_{g2d1})$ times greater than that at the low frequency.

(2) When the voltage applied to drain *D2* is positive:

The gate voltage of the first gate *G1*, *Vg1*, is given by

$$V_{g1} = V_{con} + V_{d2} \cdot \left(\frac{C_{g1d1} \cdot C_{g2d1} \cdot C_{g2d2}}{CM} \right) \quad (\text{Expression 10})$$

$$CM = C_{g1d1} \cdot C_{g2d1} \cdot C_{g2d2} + C_{g1s} \cdot C_{g1d1} \cdot C_{g2d1} + C_{g1s} \cdot C_{g2d1} \cdot C_{g2d2} + C_{g1s} \cdot C_{g1d1} \cdot C_{g2d2}$$

The condition in which the gate voltage of the first gate *G1*, *Vg1*, increases and the FET turns on allowing the current to flow into the drain *D2* is given by

$$V_{g1} \geq V_{th} \quad (\text{Expression 11})$$

Combining (Expression 10) and (Expression 11) results in

$$V_{d2} \geq (V_{th} - V_{con}) \left(\frac{CM}{C_{g1d1} \cdot C_{g2d1} \cdot C_{g2d2}} \right) \quad (\text{Expression 12})$$

The voltages that satisfy the equality sign condition of (Expression 9) and (Expression 12) are assumed to be *Von(-)* and *Von(+)*. The input waveform to the input node in Figure 5A and the output waveform from the output node are shown in Figure 5B.

The effect of replacing one FET with two FETs connected in series is considered. If we assume that *Cg1s* = *Cg1d1* = *Cg2d1* = *Cg2d2* = 1 for simplicity, the condition under which the OFF-state FET turns on is as follows.

(1) When the voltage applied to drain *D2* is negative:

$$\text{One FET: } V_d \leq (V_{con} + \text{abs}(V_{th}))^2$$

$$\text{Two FETs: } V_{d2} \leq (V_{con} + \text{abs}(V_{th}))^4$$

(2) When the voltage applied to drain *D2* is positive:

$$\text{One FET: } V_d \leq (V_{th} - V_{con})^2$$

$$\text{Two FETs: } V_{d2} \leq (V_{th} - V_{con})^4$$

This indicates that using two FETs improves the condition of the drain voltages *Vd*, *Vd2* two times.

A qualitative explanation on the distortion characteristics improvement mechanism is given as follows. When the drain *D2* is applied a negative voltage, the second gate *G2* is applied an impedance between gate 2 and ground *Zg2gnd* and also superimposed with the AC signal bred by the impedance between drain and gate 2 *Zd2g2*. Hence, when the drain voltage *Vd2* changes, the second gate *G2* follows it. When two FETs are connected in series, *Zg2gnd* is formed of a series connection of *Cg1s*, *Cg1d1* and *Cg2d1*, and *Zd2g2* is an impedance of *Gg2d2*. Hence, *Zd2g2* becomes comparatively smaller than *Zg2gnd* and the capability of the second gate voltage *Vg2* to follow the drain *D2* enhances, with the result that the switch does not easily turn on.

Similar logic holds true when a positive voltage is applied to the drain *D2*. When a positive voltage is impressed on the drain *D2*, the first gate *G1* is applied an impedance between gate 1 and ground *Zg1gnd* and superimposed with an AC signal bred by the impedance between drain and gate 1 *Zd2g1*. Thus, when the drain voltage *Vd2* changes, the first gate *G1* follows its change and increases its voltage. When two FETs are connected in series, *Zd2g1* is formed of a series connection of *Cg1d1*, *Cg2d1* and *Cg2d2*, and *Zg1gnd* is an impedance of *Cg1s*. Hence, *Zg1gnd* becomes comparatively larger than *Zd2g1* and the capability of the first gate voltage *Vg1* to follow the drain *D2* reduces, with the result that the switch does not easily turn on.

Although the above description concerns the case of two FETs connected in series, the conventional example of three FETs connected in series shown in Figure 7A is also based on the same working principle. The conventional example of Figure 7B, though the connection of drain and source of each FET is reversed, corresponds to Figure 5A with *Cg1s* and *Cg2d2* added.

For further improvement of distortion characteristics, methods are available, one of which increases the number of FETs connected in series to improve the breeder ratio and another increases $Cg1s$ and $Cg2d2$ to improve the breeder ratio.

In the case of increasing $Cg1s$ and $Cg2d2$, its effect is examined by taking up an example. When $Cg1d1 = Cg2d1 = 1$ and $Cg1s = Cg2d2 = 2$, the following conditions hold.

(1) When the voltage applied to drain $D2$ is negative:

$$\text{Two FETs: } V_{d2} \leq (V_{con} + \text{abs}(V_{th})) * 6$$

(2) When the voltage applied to drain $D2$ is positive:

$$\text{Two FETs: } V_{d2} \leq (V_{th} - V_{con}) * 6$$

This shows that increasing the capacitance between gate 1 $G1$ and source S and between gate 2 $G2$ and drain $D2$ improves the distortion characteristics.

When the capacitance is increased in this way, the ratio of the voltage differences between nodes $Vg1-Vs$, $Vd1-Vg1$, $Vg2-Vd1$, $Vd2-Vd2$ are inversely proportional to the capacitances between nodes $Cg1s$, $Cg1d1$, $Cg2d1$, $Cg2d2$, so that the ratio of the voltage differences is 1:2:2:1. It is seen therefore that $Vd1-Vg1$ and $Vg2-Vd1$ increase compared with the voltage differences between other nodes. The calculations so far have used linear capacitor for simplicity, but the actual switch has nonlinear capacitors with bias dependency.

Figure 6 shows the result of numerical simulation when two GaAs MESFETs with gate width of $W=800 \mu m$ and threshold voltage of $V_{th}=-2V$ are connected in series, capacitance of $0.3 pF$ is added between gate 2 and drain and between gate 1 and source, DC bias of $-3V$ is applied to gate, and $28 dBm$ is applied to drain. From Figure 6 it is seen that while the maximum of absolute values of $Vg1-Vs$ and $Vd2-Vg2$ are $3.1 V$ and $3.4 V$ respectively, the $Vd1-Vg1$ when a positive magnitude is applied is $9.5 V$ and the $Vg2-Vg1$ when a negative magnitude is applied is $8.8 V$. Hence, a high breakdown voltage characteristic is required between gate and source of $FET4-1$ and between gate and drain of $FET4-2$. The GaAs MESFET has the gate-drain distance set greater than the gate-source distance to achieve a high breakdown voltage characteristic. In the conventional switch, in which the source nodes are set opposite each other as shown in Figure 7B, a high voltage is necessarily applied between gate and source of each FET, making it impossible to provide a sufficient margin for the breakdown voltage. This poses a problem particularly when it is necessary to suppress the loss when a power of more than $1 W$ ($30 dBm$) is used, or when it is necessary to suppress harmonic distortions even when power used is only about $100 mW$. Further, countermeasures are also required when the gate length of FET is reduced by improved fabrication precision. This invention has the drains set opposite each other and connected together, as shown in Figure 8A, to solve this problem.

Next, we consider a case of applying the above-mentioned connection to the SPDT switch. In this invention, when the SPDT switch is connected to a signal line leading to the antenna and is used for switching between the transmitting and receiving modes, the circuit of Figure 8A is applied for the FET that turns off during the transmitting mode, as shown in Figure 8B. The precise small signal equivalent circuit of what is shown in Figure 8A in the OFF state is shown in Figure 9A, and the simplified small signal equivalent circuit is shown in Figure 9B. As mentioned earlier, when two or more FETs are connected in series to function as a switch, it is necessary to increase the gate width of each FET to reduce the series parasitic resistance in the ON state. In the case of connecting the same FETs in series, it is possible to realize almost the same series parasitic resistance as that of one FET by doubling the gate width. At this time, the parasitic capacitance is nearly equal to that of one FET because the FETs are connected in series. When, however, the proposed circuit is used, the capacitance is connected between each gate and source, the parasitic capacitance increases, degrading the isolation characteristic in the OFF state. This invention solves this problem by connecting inductance in parallel with the circuit of Figure 8A to improve the isolation characteristics.

The foregoing and other objects, advantages, manner of operation and novel features of the present invention will be understood from the following detailed description when read in connection with the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a circuit diagram of a second embodiment of this invention;

Figure 2 is a circuit diagram showing a conventional SPDT switch;

Figure 3A and 3B are small signal equivalent circuits of FET and SPDT switch;

Figure 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D are circuit diagrams showing shunt FETs on the transmitter side and input-output waveforms;

Figure 5A is a circuit diagram showing a shunt circuit on the transmitter side consisting of two FETs connected in series and Figure 5B is an input/output waveform diagram;

Figure 6 is a result of calculation simulation of a circuit shown in Figure 7B;

Figure 7A and 7B are circuit diagrams showing a conventional distortion lowering technology;

Figure 8A and 8B are circuit diagrams of a first embodiment of this invention;

Figure 9A and 9B are circuit diagrams showing small signal equivalent circuits of an impedance circuit according to this invention;

Figure 10 is a schematic cross section of a third embodiment of this invention;

Figure 11 is a schematic cross section showing a series parasitic resistance of the FET;

Figure 12 and 13 are schematic cross sections of a fourth embodiment of this invention;

Figure 14A, 14B and 14C are schematic views of a fifth embodiment of this invention;

Figure 15 is a schematic diagram of a sixth embodiment of this invention;

Figure 16 is a graph showing improvement in the distortion characteristic realized by this invention; and

Figure 17A and 17B are graphs showing improvements in the isolation characteristic and the insertion loss characteristic, realized by this invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The first embodiment of this invention is described by referring to Figure 8A and 8B. As already shown in Figure 6, when a large-magnitude voltage is impressed across the circuit of Figure 8A, if a large signal is input to the switch, a large voltage is produced at a connecting portion of two FETs and also between gates of the FETs. Hence, this invention applies an impedance circuit, in which the drains of the two FETs are interconnected to increase the breakdown voltage characteristic at the connecting portion and between the gates. Figure 18B shows this impedance circuit used in an SPDT switch for switching between the transmitting and receiving modes of a terminal of TDMA (time division multiple access) system. Distortions produced when a large-magnitude signal is applied to the SPDT switch is caused mainly by the OFF-state FET being forcibly turned on by a transmitting signal of high frequency and high power when a terminal is in the transmitting mode. To suppress the generation of this distortion, this invention applies the impedance circuit of Figure 8A for a portion that corresponds to *FET2*, 4 of Figure 2. The capacitors *Cp1*, *Cp2* to alleviate the distortion are added as necessary. When the transmitting output is as small as 10 dBm, there is no need to add the capacitors *Cp1*, *Cp2*. The essence of this invention lies in applying the impedance circuit in which drains of FETs are interconnected and in connecting the source of each FET to the transmitter side and the ground level side (or receiver side). A third FET may also be connected between the two FETs to further improve the distortion characteristic. In this case, this invention is valid as long as the drains of the two outer FETs of series-connected FETs are connected to the third inner FET and the sources of the two outer FETs are connected to the transmitter side or ground level side (or receiver side). With this embodiment, it is possible to form a low-distortion and low-loss SPDT switch which eliminates the problem of breakdown voltage that would accompany the SPDT switch made up of a plurality of FETs connected in series.

The second embodiment of this invention is explained by referring to Figure 1. Although connecting a plurality of FETs in series improves the distortion characteristic, it increases the series parasitic resistance in the ON state and therefore the insertion loss when the receiver and the antenna are connected. To prevent deterioration of the insertion loss, there is a need to increase the gate width of *FET2-1*, *FET2-2* of the impedance circuit. Increasing the gate width and adding the antidistortion Capacitors *Cp1*, *Cp2*, however, increase the parasitic capacitance between the nodes in the impedance circuit, which in turn deteriorates the isolation characteristics in the OFF state and also worsens the insertion loss during the transmitting mode. To cancel the unwanted effects of the parasitic capacitor, this invention connects an inductor in parallel with the impedance circuit. As to the *FET3* that turns on during transmitting mode, because it uses a large gate width for the purpose of reducing the ON-state resistance, it has a parasitic capacitance canceling inductor connected in parallel with the impedance circuit. Detailed comparison with the small signal equivalent circuit of a single FET indicates that the use of a plurality of FETs adds an excess parasitic resistance between the resistance of the operation layer and the gate, increasing the series parasitic resistance in the ON state and therefore the insertion loss. With this embodiment, however, it is possible to form an SPDT switch that realizes low distortion, low loss and high isolation characteristic as well as excellent breakdown voltage characteristic.

The third embodiment of this invention is described by referring to Figure 10. This embodiment represents an example device configuration that realizes the first embodiment. To improve the breakdown voltage of the first embodiment, the distance *Lgd* between the gate *G1*, *G2* and the drain *D1*, *D2* is set longer than the distance *Lgs* between the gate *G1*, *G2* and the source *S1*, *S2*. When the drain and the source are at the same voltage, the gate-drain capacitor *Cgd* becomes smaller than the gate-source capacitor *Cgs*. This embodiment realizes an impedance circuit by setting the gate-drain distance of the two FETs wide and interconnecting the drains of the FETs whose breakdown voltage between gate and drain is improved. Interconnecting the drains of two FETs reduces the parasitic capacitance between the two gates and this embodiment therefore can not only improve the breakdown voltage but also bring the capacitance ratio close to an appropriate one that contributes to the reduction in distortion. By applying the impedance circuit of this embodiment to the SPDT switch, it is possible to realize a switch with an improved distortion characteristic.

The fourth embodiment of this invention is described by referring to Figure 11, 12 and 13. This embodiment concerns a transistor structure that realizes series-connected FETs suited for application to the first embodiment and which can

realize reduction in the parasitic resistance in the ON-state FETs more effectively than can the third embodiment. The series parasitic resistance when the FETs turn on is formed of a series connection of a source contact resistance R_{cs} , a channel resistance R_{ch} and a drain contact resistance R_{cd} , as shown in Figure 11. Hence, in the case of the third embodiment shown in Figure 10, the series parasitic resistance R_p is given by

$$R_p = 2 \cdot (R_{cs} + R_{ch} + R_{cd}) \quad (\text{Expression 12})$$

One of methods of reducing the series parasitic resistance involves eliminating the drain contact layer of the two FETs to directly connect the channel regions of each FET. This special transistor is called a dual gate FET which is often used when connecting a plurality of FETs in series. The series parasitic resistance R_{pd} in this case is given by

$$R_{pd} = 2 \cdot (R_{cs} + R_{ch}) + R_{gg} \quad (\text{Expression 13})$$

where R_{gg} is a parasitic resistance between two gates which usually takes a value smaller than $2 \cdot R_{cd}$. To improve the breakdown voltage of the dual gate FET, this invention sets the distance L_{g1g2} between gate 1 $G1$ and gate 2 $G2$ of the dual gate FET longer than the distance L_{g1s1} between gate 1 $G1$ and source 1 $S1$ or distance L_{g2s2} between gate 2 $G2$ and source 2 $S2$, as shown in Figure 12. With this structure, it is possible to improve the distortion characteristic while reducing the series parasitic resistance. The cross sectional structure shown in Figure 13 has a low-resistance ion implantation between two gates to further reduce R_{gg} and ON-state resistance. Suppose the distance between the first gate and the ion implantation is L_{g1n} and the distance between the second gate and the ion implantation region is L_{g2n} . A relative increase in the parasitic capacitance between the gate 1 $G1$ and source 1 $S1$ and between gate 2 $G2$ and source 2 $S2$ of the dual gate FET is achieved by the following setting:

$$L_{g1n} \geq L_{g1s1}, L_{g2n} \geq L_{g2s2}$$

While this embodiment concerns a case of the dual gate FET, the essence of this embodiment is to make the parasitic capacitance between the gates at the ends and the source on the outer side (or drain) greater than the parasitic capacitance between the adjoining gates and thereby to reduce distortions by setting the distance between the gates at the ends and the source on the outer side (or drain) shorter than the distance between the adjoining gates. In this respect, this invention is effective for the triple gate FET or FETs with a greater number of gates.

The fifth embodiment of this invention is shown in Figure 14. This embodiment concerns a device structure which is suited for compactly forming on an integrated circuit distortion prevention capacitors $Cp1$, $Cp2$, which are added in this invention. Figure 14A shows a circuit pattern viewed from above. On two source contact nodes, a high dielectric contact film is formed between a gate metal and a contact node metal to form a capacitor. Figure 14B shows a cross section of FETs (taken along the line $\ell1$) and Figure 14C a cross section of a capacitor (taken along the line $\ell2$). This structure can be easily formed by adding a high dielectric contact film process to the FET process.

The sixth embodiment of this invention is shown in Figure 15. This embodiment is similar to the fifth embodiment, except that two gate metals are drawn out in the same direction. The capacitor between the first gate and the source is divided and separated to prevent contact between the two gates. By taking out the two gates from the same direction, it is possible to realize the control wiring for ON/OFF control with ease.

As described above, this invention can easily realize a high frequency switch that has a low voltage and a low distortion characteristic. Figure 16 shows an improved input-output characteristic of a transmitting signal in the SPDT switch of this invention. This is the result of comparison between three fabricated switches—a conventional SPDT switch, a SPDT switch that applies the dual gate FET of the fourth embodiment of this invention to the OFF-state FET, and a SPDT switch that adds a capacitance of 0.6 pF ($Cp1$, $Cp2$) to the dual gate FET of the fourth embodiment and parallelly connects an inductor. The frequency of the transmitting signal is 1.9 GHz. The threshold voltages of all FETs are -2 V, and FETs are applied with 0 V during ON state and with -3 V during OFF state as control bias. The suppressed power of the 1 dB output in the conventional SPDT switch is 17 dBm as indicated by (1) in the graph; the power of the SPDT switch using the dual gate FET of the fourth embodiment is 22 dBm (2); and the power of the SPDT switch, which has an added capacitor and a parallelly connected inductor, reaches 30 dBm (3). Figure 17A shows the pass characteristic during the ON state and Figure 17B the isolation characteristic during the OFF state. This invention has realized the insertion loss of 0.82 dB and isolation characteristic of 28.5 dB for the transmitting signal frequency of 1.9 GHz.

Claims

1. A single-pole double-throw (SPDT) switch used in a transceiver for switching between a transmitting mode and a receiving mode, comprising
a first FET switch (FET1) provided between ground and a first signal node (1) adapted for connection to a receiver,

a second FET switch (FET2-1, FET2-2) provided between the first signal node (1) and a second signal node (2) adapted for connection to a receiving/transmitting antenna,

a third FET switch (FET3) provided between the second signal node (2) and a third signal node (3) adapted for connection to a transmitter, and

a fourth FET switch (FET4-1, FET4-2) provided between the third signal node (3) and ground,

wherein a signal received at the second signal node (2) is fed to the first signal node (1) by turning on the second and fourth FET switches and turning off the first and third FET switches, and a signal to be transmitted is fed from the third signal node to the second signal node by turning off the second and fourth FET switches and turning on the first and third FET switches,

characterised in that each of said second and fourth FET switches has a pair of FET means (FET2-1, FET2-2; FET4-1, FET4-2) having their channel regions connected in series and their source nodes connected to the respective signal node (2, 1; 3, 2), wherein in each of the two paired FET means, the distance between the gate (G1, G2) and the adjacent source (S1, S2) is smaller than the distance between the gate and the respective other transistor node.

2. The SPDT switch of claim 1, wherein each of said second and fourth FET switches includes two FETs connected in series, and wherein the distance (Lgd) between drain and gate is larger than the distance (Lgs) between source and gate in each of these FETs.
3. The SPDT switch of claim 1, wherein each of said second and fourth FET switches includes an FET having two gate metals (G1, G2) arranged in parallel on a common channel region, with the two source nodes (S1, S2) of the FET being arranged on a contact region outside the channel region, and the distance (Lg1g2) between the gate metals (G1, G2) being greater than or equal to the distance (Lg1s1, Lg2s2) between each gate metal (G1, G2) and the adjacent source node (S1, S2).
4. The SPDT switch of claim 1, wherein each of said second and fourth FET switches includes an FET with two gate metals (G1, G2) arranged in parallel on a channel region outside an ion-implanted region, with two source nodes (S1, S2) of the FET being arranged on a contact region outside the channel region, and the distance (Lg1n, Lg2n) between each gate metal (G1, G2) and the implanted region being greater than the distance (Lg1s1, Lg2s2) between each gate metal (G1, G2) and the respective adjacent source node (S1, S2).
5. The SPDT switch of any preceding claim, wherein each of said second and fourth FET switches has two capacitors, one connected between each gate (G1, G2) and the adjacent source node (S1, S2).
6. The SPDT switch of claim 5, wherein each said capacitor is formed on the source node (S1, S2) by disposing a dielectric contact film between the source metal and the gate metal.
7. The SPDT switch of claim 5 or 6, comprising an inductor connected in parallel with each of said second and third FET switches (FET2-1, FET2-2; FET 3).
8. The SPDT switch of claim 3 or 4, wherein the second FET switch has two capacitors connected between each gate metal (G1, G2) and the adjacent source node (S1, S2) and the fourth FET switch has two capacitors connected between the source node (S1, S2) and drain node (D1, D2) of each FET means.

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 4A





FIG. 4B



$$V_{on}(-) = V_{con} + \text{abs}(V_{th})$$

FIG. 4C





FIG. 4D



$$V_{on}(+) = (V_{th} - V_{con})(C_{gd} + C_{gs})/C_{gs}$$

$$V_{on}(-) = (V_{con} + \text{abs}(V_{th}))(C_{gd} + C_{gs})/C_{gs}$$

FIG. 5A






FIG. 5B





$$V_{on}(+) = (V_{th} - V_{con})CM / (Cg1d1 * Cg2d1 * Cg2d2)$$

$$V_{on}(-) = (V_{con} + abs(V_{th}))CM / (Cg1s * Cg1d1 * Cg2d1)$$

FIG. 6








FIG. 8A




FIG. 8B

FIG. 9A




FIG. 9B




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14A




FIG. 14B




FIG. 14C




FIG. 15




FIG. 16




FIG. 17A




FIG. 17B

